# THECLASSICALWEEKLY

Entered as second-class matter November 18, 1907, at the Post Office, New York, N. Y., under the Act of Congress of March 1, 1879

Vol. V

NEW YORK, JANUARY 27, 1912

No. 13

(Continued from page 90.)

To my mind one of the very best papers in the book is Professor Wenley's on The Nature of Culture Studies. It is less dazzling than Professor Shorey's article, The Case for the Classics (303-343)1, and it is not furnished, as Professor Shorey's paper is, with an elaborate array of footnotes giving the fullest references to the vast controversial literature of which the Classics have been the theme (the best published bibliography, by the way, on the subject), but it is everywhere concrete, directly suggestive and stimulating, wholly logical and coherent. Culture studies, says Professor Wenley, link man principally with the past; their roots strike deep into history. "Rome attached the glorious heritage of centuries; Carthage, Syracuse, Athens, Thebes, Sparta, Alexandria, Jerusalem, were swallowed successively. Then she proceeded to annex the hopes of the future-Gaul, Spain, Germany, Britain" (63). We cannot, therefore, rid ourselves of Rome, try as we may.... "when we gaze out upon the past, the petty sloughs away, we are left alone with the spacious things that endowed life with dignity and gifted it with permanent worth. In this wonderful disappearance of the temporary the central meaning of culture studies, like their present efficacy, finds impregnable shelter" (66). Education consists not in what is acquired, but in the manner of acquisition and all that it implies. Hence the studies in which average attainment is least readily elicited by purely mechanical means offer the processes best calculated to bring educational results. Again, culture studies demand a certain personal detachment that makes for individuality, "the one criminal omission of our contemporary <educational> system", by compelling a man to cut loose from things immediately present to sense, to prepare for larger relations, to view detail as a means to a distant end, to acquire mastery for its own all sufficing sake (71). On pages 72 ff. there is an admirable presentation of the intellectual processes called into play by the task of translating a Latin sentence and of the unequivocal demand which Latin makes upon absolute accuracy of intellectual process; nowhere have I seen this thought better presented. In this demand, the author urges, lies the efficacy of Latin as an instrument of education. In culture studies, then, we have the discipline necessary to thought,

and, as a result of the material employed, an introduction to the great things of life, freed by the lapse of time from all pettiness (75).

The Symposia which constitute the rest of Chapter IV give the result of an admirable plan formulated by Professor Kelsey (the guiding spirit of the Classical Conferences at Ann Arbor), requiring six or seven years for its consummation. Their general theme was the Value of humanistic, particularly classical, Studies as a Preparation for the Professions and for practical Life (I detest the adjective practical here, but can find no better word). Symposia I-IV thus discuss the value of classical studies to the physician (83-98), to the engineer (99-120), the lawyer (121-153), the clergyman (154-209), to the man of affairs (210-259). There is a wide array of contributors, all men of distinction. Thus to the Symposium on The Value of Classics to Men of Affairs contributions were made by Ambassador Bryce, Mr. James Loeb, Mr. William Sloane, Hon. John W. Foster, Dr. Charles R. Williams, Editor of the Indianapolis News, Dr. Harvey W. Wiley, and Mr. James Brown Scott, Solicitor for the Department of State at Washington, I have not space to give the views of these and other contributors. Possible as it is to object that naturally pains were taken to secure for the Symposia men of assured sympathy with the Classics, one cannot doubt the sincerity of the speakers or deny the value of the testimony of so many men not connected professionally in any way with the Clas-

Limited though our space is, a word must, however, be said about Dr. Wiley's paper on the Value of the Study of Latin and Greek as a Preparation for the Study of Science. Himself a firm believer in the Value of the Classics for men of science, Dr. Wiley addressed a questionnaire to 100 men distinguished in science. 35 responded. A careful reading of the answers, from which Dr. Wiley quotes freely, both for and against the Classics, justifies his remark on page 250: "The surprise that has come to me in studying the replies I have received was produced rather by the large amount of testimony in favor of the classics than by that which is opposed to them". There is plenty of evidence, aside from Dr. Wiley's questionnaire, that scientific men recognize the value of the study of the Classics as a preparation for the study of science.

Symposium VI contains three admirable papers.

<sup>1</sup> See The School Review, 18.585-617.

Professor E. K. Rand, of Harvard University, deals with The Classics in European Education, from Greek and Roman times (260-282). Though professedly only historical, aiming to show to what extent and in what manner the Classics have been (wrongly and rightly) studied, the paper gives a fine presentation of the claims of the Classics to a consideration even to-day in every scheme of education, because everywhere Professor Rand makes it clear that "the true progress of humanism, which is nothing but the ancient program revived, has always pointed men to the treasured ideals of the past and inspired them to action in the present" (282). As long ago as Pericles's time, when the great (Greek) Classic, Homer, was part and parcel of Greek education, "we see the twofold impulse of the human spirit which the study of classical literature normally inspires-reverence for the past, and the passionate desire to act worthily in the present" (260). There is an instructive discussion of the attitude of the Church toward pagan culture. After this comes a discussion of Dante's attitude toward antiquity (264 ff.), and of the study of the Classics, Greek and Latin both, by the humanists (267 ff.). The programme of the humanists after Greek had its sure place there may be seen in Battisto Guarino's De Ordine docendi et studendi (1459). The programme contains nothing but Latin and Greek

it is simply the ancient method of Cicero and Quintilian all over again. Both authors are constantly cited for principles as well as facts; virtutis laus omnis in actione consistit, said Cicero, and Vittorino echoes the words. Second, it is the basis of every truly humanistic program established from that day to this. Its principles appear in some dozen treatises of the day, and from Italy spread to the North. What I have quoted does not touch all the elements in humanistic education. Science and mathematics received more consideration than one might suppose. Religious training was not neglected, as it is with us; polite demeanor, dress, physical exercise, all were matters for attention. And let me emphasize again the point I would specially make: the twofold character of their education, its reverence for the past and its interest in the present, derives clearly from the ancient prototype.

Rudolphus Agricola, Vivès, Dorat, Budé, Erasmus, Thomas Elyot, "who interpreted Erasmus and Budé to England" (271), Ascham, Bacon, Milton are all in turn considered.

Attention is then given (273 ff.) to various forces that tended to discredit the educational programme based on the Classics. These include the decay of the method itself, due especially to too rigid restriction of Latin style to Ciceronianism<sup>1</sup>, the Protestant Reformation, the famous quarrel between the ancients and the moderns which spread from

<sup>1</sup> See Sandys's chapter, The History of Ciceronianism in Harvard Lectures on the Revival of Learning, 145-173. France to England, romanticism, German ways of studying the Classics, etc. There is a pertinent query at the close of the paper (282):

Further, I would inquire, how have we teachers of the classics fulfilled our tasks? Have we always kept before us the true ideal of humanism? Have we made the sacred past living and contemporary, or have we banished our subject to a timeless district, illumined, not by the dry light of reason, which is a wholesome effluence, but by the dry darkness of the unprofitable?

To sum up, the book contains much of importance for all classes of readers. It does, to be sure, virtually nothing for the pedagogical side of the Classics. But it states in most suggestive ways, in papers that cross one another frequently, yet have sufficient individuality, the claims of the Classics to a large place in American education. To the non-classical reader the testimony of so many men of parts not in any way concerned with the direct professional use of the Classics should have weight; to the teacher of the Classics the book sounds a call to higher service to his pupils and to his age, by urging him to prepare himself better in his subject and to teach it better by taking a larger and broader view of it, correlating the present and the past, and above all, by being himself, as the result of his classical and humanistic studies, in every way more truly homo, immo vero vir.

## THE OLD EDUCATION AND THE NEW 1

Of all the branches of study pursued in school, Latin and Greek have left their marks deepest on the character of education. For many ages, Greek and Latin with Mathematics were the foundations of a liberal education, nay rather, they were a liberal education. They were the trivium of the Middle Ages and of later times. No man was considered an educated man who had not devoted years to the study of these subjects and whose mind had not been trained by long-continued pursuit of these branches. That in many cases they were poorly taught, that in many cases the results were not at all commensurate with the time that had been devoted to them, that after years of study there was a woeful ignorance of both Greek and Latin literature and that the knowledge of Mathematics was insufficient to solve the problem of how to make both ends meet were facts seized upon by the everready innovator and were made by him the excuse for a change to more 'practical' subjects and to those which were nearer to the every-day life of the world. With a great blowing of trumpets and beating of drums the Modern Languages and Science, 'the great panacea for all the educational ills of the past', as their advocates claimed, were

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> This paper was read at the organization meeting of The Classical Association of the Pacific Northwest, held at Portland, Oregon, June 16-17, 1911.

introduced to supplant the Classics and Mathematics, and to drive them from their lofty places in the educational Pantheon.

'The New Education', as it has been called, has been in power for some time, long enough, indeed, to bring forth fruit capable of being weighed in the balance in which the old was weighed and of being tested by the same standards by which the old education was tried. Let us consider what these results are.

Those of us who are engaged in teaching in secondary schools are not in the best position to test the results of our work. We are too near it. For the tests of our work we must look to the colleges and so we must ask those who have the task of examining students when they present themselves for matriculation, before the college has had an opportunity of making its impress upon the students, to examine the results for us.

Those who are in positions such as I have mentioned above do not hesitate to publish over their signatures statements that the results are not only far from satisfactory, but that the students who have been trained wnolly or almost exclusively in the Modern Languages and Science are far inferior to those of former years, whose mental pabulum was almost exclusively the Classics and Mathematics. In a recent Magazine article Professor Barrett Wendell of Harvard says, "Year after year, it seems to me, as the newer educational notions have supplanted the elder at schools which fit boys for college, those boys prove, when they get to college, flabbier and flabbier in mind".

Dean Briggs, also of Harvard, in his book on College, School, and Character, says the same thing about the mental character of those who were fed on the "soft food" of the new education. Many others have confirmed this statement.

While it was true that the boy of the preceding generation, or rather, I should say, of our generation, did not know much, yet he had received a certain mental training that enabled him to grapple with the more difficult subjects of the college curriculum with an energy and an earnestness of purpose which seem to be lacking in the college students of to-day, who have been trained by the easier methods of the Modern Languages and the Sciences.

"Education", it has been said, "is a matter partly of information and partly of training". According to my idea, the latter phase is the more important. An educated man distinguishes himself from an uneducated one not so much by the amount of information he may possess on any subject as by the fact that his faculties are under better control. When new or unexpected conditions confront an educated man, owing to his superior mental training, he can use his wits better, or, in other words, when put to the task he can accomplish something.

He has learned to devote his attention to the matter in hand, even though it may not interest him in itself. His faculty of voluntary attention as distinguished from spontaneous attention has been trained and developed, while that of an uneducated man has not been trained in the same manner, and the latter finds that he is unable to concentrate his attention on an irksome or uninviting task with sufficient energy and for a long enough time to accomplish anything.

"The faculty of voluntary attention", says Professor Wendell, "clearly distinguished the college students of thirty years ago from the flabbier students of to-day. And that faculty the older students gained largely from the elder system of education to which they were forced to submit. And no one, I believe, can gain it in any thing like the same degree from methods as yet devised by apostles of the modern education". Unknowingly the old education cultivated this faculty well. Through daily hours, throughout all their youthful years, it compelled the boys, in spite of every human reluctance, to fix their attention on matters which of themselves could never have held attention for five minutes together."

Latin and Greek also are among the most valuable studies for training in logical method. While not the superiors of the experimental sciences in that they do not permit the use of the most valuable of inductive devices, experiment, yet we may, nay rather, we should make inductions by drawing conclusions from a multitude of instances. But the most valuable form of mental gymnastics provided by the systematic and careful study of the Classics is the setting of authorities one against another and the deduction of interpretations from ascertained rules of syntax. As a training in the honest weighing of evidence, the solving of a problem in translation or the interpretation of a Greek or Latin text is of the highest value.

But the Classics can further lay claim to a unique power of developing precision of thought. A great deal of the business of life depends on our being precise in the meanings which we attach to words. The evils of ambiguity in language appear to be most easily and naturally avoided by the careful cultivation of the precise and honest rendering of a Latin or Greek passage in the light of all the evidence that can be collected for its interpretation.

By training to exactness and precision of speech, translation trains to exactness and precision of thought. In translating a pupil must examine every word with critical care to determine just what it means, and to find a word in his own language which will exactly express the thought. In ferreting out the hidden meaning of a sentence he must see

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> See the quotations from Professor Wendell in Thê' Classical Weekly 2.225-226,

the connection between all the words and the specific ideas which they denote, and as a final act he must put the whole thing over into another language. In doing this he makes the thought of the author his own; he lives over the experiences of the writer; he grows into possession of all the author possesses. But', you may say, 'do not the Modern Languages do this?' Yes, they do, to a certain extent, and in such a degree are they valuable for mental discipline as well as for culture. But they are inferior to Greek and Latin owing to the fact that they have not the elaborate inflectional system of the Classics and their principles of syntax too closely resemble those of English.

Another reason why the Modern Languages are inferior to the Classics for educational purposes is in the character of their literatures. The literatures of the Modern Languages are based largely on those of the Greeks and the Romans. They are lacking, however, in those elemental qualities that distinguish the literatures of Greece and Rome, simplicity and richness. As instruments of education the literatures of Greece and Rome are valuable on these two grounds. The great primitive masterpieces that have served as models for two or three thousand years are necessarily nearer, as a rule, to the appreciation of young people who are to be trained to understand and like literature than the more elaborate compositions of later days; and they have the incomparable advantage of being mostly the true and spontaneous expression of their time. They are at once the means of cultivating taste and also first-hand documents of history in which the pupils can make discoveries for themselves.

So far as richness is concerned, every one of the great departments of literary composition is represented by some noble classical examplars. In point of poetry we have not yet improved on the classification of poetic composition which we owe to the Greeks; and in every kind of poetry the Greeks at least have done supremely well. In point of prose, Greek and Latin historians and philosophers are among the greatest of the great models and possessions for all time. It has been truly said that the "Romans were characterized by breadth of design, directness of purpose, vigor in devices for the attainment of practical ends, scrupulous thoroughness, and purity of execution, and that these characteristics remain stamped upon every work that they accomplished in engineering, literature, and art".

The study of Latin and Greek is valuable also as a key to the history of Western civilisation. The 'dead' languages of Greece and Rome are the unalterable records of the past, social, political, and religious. If we would know what our civilisation means, we must understand the nature of the contributions made by Greece and Rome. Of course

translations may be used; but the form and spirit are too closely united in literature to make translations more than a makeshift.

The study of Latin contributes in a remarkable degree to the mastery of English. Latin in an unusual way contributes to the mastery of content and consequent mastery of expression. If there is one thing that more than all else can be said in defence of Latin, it is that the language cannot be trifled with. The mastery of inflections and of the elementary rules for syntax opens a new field for most children; and one of the first lessons that is learned in any well-taught Latin class is that law and order must be respected, that what is not right is wrong.

Also, in the often painful mastery of the rules for accent, syllabication, and gender, in the mastery of declension, conjugation, and comparison, in applying the elementary rules for the relations of words in a Latin sentence,—in all this exacting work which must not be slighted not the least valuable lesson that can be learned is that a literary artist must serve an apprenticeship which is as exacting as that of anyone who hopes for power in other ways. There is no other way by which an English-speaking child can be taught this lesson so well as in the first few weeks of his study of Latin.

The Latin syntax is simple, direct, and lucid; yet it is full of perplexities to a child who has known no grammar save that of the English language. All sorts of methods have been tried to lighten the burden. But here is one place where hard, patient work must be insisted upon, if a knowledge of Latin is to be acquired; it is only by a thorough mastery of the Latin sentence that the study of Latin may contribute to the mastery of English. Two things, however, must be required by the teacher of every pupil if the study of Latin is to contribute to the knowledge of our English tongue: these are the formation of the habit of understanding the precise meaning of a Latin word, and the careful rendering of every passage into concise idiomatic English. If these things are neglected not only will Latin be of little help to the study of English, but it may prove to be a positive detriment.

It seems to me that we teachers of the Classics are to a certain extent responsible for the attack that has been made upon the former preeminence of our subjects in the educational scheme. In our efforts to introduce something new into our work, in our desire to try some innovations, we have weakened the force of our work and have laid ourselves open to attacks from our opponents. A few years ago the cry was, 'Let us have more translation at sight. If it is a good thing for a boy to read a few authors or a small portion of a few authors, why would it not be better to read larger

portions of many authors, and thus give the boy a more extensive acquaintance with the literatures of Greece and Rome'. The plea was specious and was listened to by many teachers; and those of us who were weary of the everlasting dividing of Gaul into three parts or of marching the Ten Thousand so many parasangs a day eagerly welcomed the opportunity of reading widely with our classes. The requirements of some of the Eastern colleges were changed from an examination on certain authors or portions of authors to sight translation entirely. Now I would not minimize the value of sight translation. On the contrary, I believe that the ability to translate at sight prose and poetry of average difficulty is the best test of a mastery of a language. But I do maintain that it is impossible with the average student in the four years that most preparatory schools give to the study of Latin to acquire a sufficiently copious vocabulary and the familiarity with the principles of syntax that is requisite for translation at sight without neglecting the careful intensive study of selected portions of well-chosen authors. And it is in such intensive study of selected portions that the greatest disciplinary value of the study of Greek and Latin lies.

e

it

S

e

is

w

ıt

68

гу

pof

ıg

u-

ry

aś

er

ch

:5-

đу

ret

Νn

ge.

he

ent

of

gh

of

sh.

the

to

1e:

nd-

the

ise

ted

of

nt.

sics

ack

nce

our

ork,

ave

ur-

few

sla-

to

few

ger

The plan of extensive reading of the Classics in secondary schools has been tried and has proven a failure in most instances. To such an extent has this been recognized to be the case that Harvard has changed her entrance requirements again and now offers an examination on selected portions of chosen authors as the major requirement.

Let the student translate at sight as much as possible; but let that be a matter of secondary importance in the preparatory school, not an end in itself, for the primary aim of the preparatory course should not be culture but discipline.

FOREST GROVE, OREGON. J. C. HAZZARD.

#### REVIEWS

The Phaedo of Plato. Edited with Introduction and Notes, by John Burnet. Oxford: at the Clarendon Press (1911). Pp. lix + 158.

One would have given much to be present at a Platonic symposium, easily conceivable, at which those brilliant twin stars in the study of Plato and his philosophy might have been present—James Adam of Emmanuel College, Cambridge, and John Burnet, representing Oxford. Aberdeen did well when she sent the former to Cambridge to return later to her to deliver those lectures embodied in his Religious Teachers of Greece, after years devoted to the study of Platonic text and philosophy. Burnet, also a student of Plato, had turned at first towards Aristotle and edited the Ethics in 1900. Two years later his Platonis Opera made its appearance. The Republic came later, and, along with it,

his Early Greek Philosophy, second edition of which appeared in 1908. It may be easily surmised that a Phaedo from his hands will therefore show diligent collation of manuscripts and a well prepared text, with abundant variant readings. This is all true. The variants appear at the foot of each page, not being removed to the limbo of a critical appendix at the end of the book.

The Introduction covers fifty-five pages and is devoted throughout to the question how true a Socrates we get from the Memorabilia of Xenophon, the Discourses of Socrates (as Aristotle, Poet. 1477 b 24 ff., calls Plato's Dialogues) and from Aristotle. This involves the deeper question, how much of the theory of the soul's immortality contained in the Phaedo is Plato's and how much the great Master's. Burnet does not follow the larger number of Platonic scholars, who have held that Xenophon gives us the 'historic' Socrates and that Plato uses the teacher as the mouthpiece for his own views. It is not easy for him to believe that Plato intended his readers to regard the Phaedo simply as an imaginary conversation; it is to his mind the likeness of a great philosopher in the supreme crisis of his life, drawn by a philosopher who was greater still and was also one of the most consummate dramatic artists the world has known. It would have been, he thinks, an outrage on all natural piety if Plato had used the condemned Socrates as a mere mouthpiece for novel doctrines of his own. Burnet holds that the interpretation that finds nothing in the Phaedo but the speculations of Plato himself is based on the belief that the historic Socrates of whom we get some idea from Xenophon is quite a different person from the Platonic Socrates. This emphasis of Xenophon is apparently due to the authority of Hegel and his school. Against this view Burnet protests, and he sets about giving such an account of Xenophon's Socratic writings as makes the socalled historic Socrates appear as the fictitious character. Xenophon, he maintains, had no special information about Socrates; nor was he in any sense his real follower, as were Simmias and Cebes; he did not belong to the same circle as these men did and his sympathy with them was imperfect. The conversations in the Memorabilia were not held in his presence, and he had no opportunity of hearing about them from oral tradition, as Plato may have done in similar cases. We have the feeling that Xenophon got the substance of many of his conversations from what Wilamowitz calls the Socratic apocrypha, and fitted these as well as he could into his own recollections of the brave old man with the gift of second sight whose advice he had sought in early life. So, where he claims to have been an eye-witness, his statements are not to be trusted. He was eager to defend the memory

of Socrates, for that was part of the case against the Athenian democracy. Plato is, then, the best source for the real historic Socrates, though his intimacy with him was through his kinsmen Critias and Glaucon; he did not belong to the teacher's inner circle; yet his opportunities for learning to know Socrates as he really was were vastly greater than those of Xenophon.

At this point Burnet develops his theory as to the style and purpose of the Platonic dialogue. It belongs, namely, to that as yet unnamed literary genre to which Aristotle calls attention at the beginning of the Poetics. It had two distinctive marks: it used prose for its instrument and it was imitation. It included the mimes of Sophron and Xenarchus and also the Socratic discourses. This classification of the Platonic dialogue with the mime is, to Burnet, one of Aristotle's happiest thoughts. If the stories which are told of Plato's delight in Sophron are historical, we can see what suggested it. Plato's dialogues are really mimes, but with the difference that the characters are all real and well-known people. They are just the opposite of the speeches of Thucydides-which totally lack genre (what is called ethos in Lysias). Yet Plato is not led into anachronisms; in his character sketching he keeps up the illusion that his dialogues belong to the pre-revolutionary period. Burnet is but following Schleiermacher and Zeller (vs. Hegel) in feeling that there must have been in Socrates more than Xenophon tells us, to win, particularly, Pythagoreans of Thebes and the Eleatics of Megara, as Plato's Phaedo reveals he did. Burnet seems here to be aiming at Gilbert Murray, who declares that "the scenes in dialogues are even in Plato's hands admittedly unhistoric; after Plato's death, they are the merest imaginary conversations". To Burnet the historic Socrates is seen, then, through Plato; more than that, he may be seen even through Aristophanes's Clouds. When this play came out in 423 and Plato and Xenophon were babes, Socrates was still known chiefly as a student of natural science, and, if we take the Clouds and Phaedo seriously, making due allowance for comic exaggeration in the former, we get an account of the scientific position of Socrates that fits exactly into what we know of the intellectual atmosphere of the middle of the fifth century B.C. Anaxagoras and Empedocles were potent influences upon the teacher then; and the Phaedo tells us that when Socrates gave up natural science in despair he found satisfaction in what is generally known as the Theory of Ideas, which is really Pythagorean, and is carlier than Plato and even Socrates. So far as devotion to a theory of ideas requires the ecstatic vision, we know from the Symposium and the Phacdrus that Socrates could possess that, and it is therefore surprising that E. Rohde's Psyche has no

chapter on Socrates. Yet Socrates was no Orphic for all that. His Attic eironeia saved him from turning mystic out and out.

This introduction is most interesting. Nor is it radical; rather its tone is reactionary and its arguments are built upon opinions that have in part been expressed long ago, but have not been generally held by Platonic scholars. The notes are helpful in translation and in understanding of philosophical points throughout; little or no grammatical discussion finds place. There are two good appendices on Death by Hemlock, and the Art of Glaucus.

NEW YORK UNIVERSITY.

W. E. WATERS.

The Oriental Religions in Roman Paganism. By Franz Cumont. With an Introductory Essay by Grant Showerman. Chicago: The Open Court Publishing Company (1911). \$1.00.

In 1906 Professor Cumont published under the title Les Religions Orientales dans le Paganisme Romain a series of eight lectures which had been delivered shortly before at the Collège de France on the Michonis foundation and at the University of Oxford under the auspices of the Hibbert Trust. The work is now presented in English form with an introduction by Professor Showerman, giving some account of Professor Cumont's life and pointing out the significance of his work. By a happy coincidence the book appears at the time when its author is lecturing in this country. For all who know Cumont's work in the original no words are necessary to set forth the importance of this book. It deals with the leading facts in the moral and religious history of the Roman empire, and consequently with matters of profound significance for our own Christian religion and our present civilization. Therefore one may speak of it at greater length than is usually warranted in the case of a translation.

In his preface Professor Cumont defines his field, pointing out that Christianity spread in the Latin' world only after it obtained considerable development; and that this development must be studied in Asia Minor where Christianity grew under manifold influences from other religions into that form which was afterwards established in the West. In this connection the author gives a timely warning against the tendency to overemphasize the influence of paganism on our faith. Certain practices and festivals of the Church were undoubtedly borrowed from paganism or influenced by it-the date of Christmas, and the polytheistic character of the worship of the saints are examples in point; but still it is true that on the whole Christianity imposed its influence on its enemies more than they imposed on it. Leaving Christianity aside, then, Cumont considers rather the way in which the Oriental pagan religions established their doctrine in the

Roman Empire, and the reasons why they acquired such wide authority in the periods antecedent to and contemporaneous with the spread of Christianity. The faiths from the East broke up the old national religion of Rome and showed that religion did not need to be connected with the state to secure wide dominion; they also, acting with other influences, shifted the point of view with which men looked on religion from that of a public duty to that of a personal opportunity and obligation. A happy salvation became the goal of life. These are some of the important themes handled in Cumont's book.

The first chapter on Rome and the Orient points out that, in comparison with the rude West and exhausted Greece, Asia Minor, Syria, and Egypt were rich; they possessed an old and highly developed civilization so that they naturally influenced the West in politics, finance, science, and mathematics, while literature and to a certain extent art were cultivated chiefly by natives of the eastern part of the empire. Nothing was more inevitable than that the Orient should impose its religious belief also on the West and finally destroy Greco-Latin paganism. The reasons for the success of the Oriental religions are then discussed in the second chapter. The commercial and industrial superiority of the Orient sent many Levantine merchants to every part of the western half of the empire; the East was constantly furnishing slaves for the West, and eastern soldiers were found along the Danube and the Rhine, in Britain and in Spain. These Orientals acted as missionaries for their native religions and furnished the means for their diffusion. But the reasons why these religions found such speedy acceptance in the Latin half of the empire are not to be sought in the degeneracy of the West but in the great moral superiority of these faiths over Greco-Roman religion and over those Celtic, Germanic, and other cults with which they came in contact, in their larger appeal to religious emotion, and in their greater power to satisfy the deeper longings of mankind.

The next four chapters discuss at length the religious contributions of Asia Minor, Egypt, Syria, and Persia, from which came Cybele, Ma-Bellona, Isis and Serapis, the Dea Syria and the Baals under various names, Mithra, and a number of minor divinities. Each god had his companies of native devotees, each made his converts from the strangers in whose midst he settled, and each contributed to that final syncretism in which paganism ended.

Before proceeding to a consideration of the culmination of pagan religion, Cumont devotes a chapter to astrology and magic. These became influential when the ancient oracles failed and belief was lost in the forms of divination known to Greco-Roman religion. Astrology came partly as an exact science, partly as a religious faith. The observed

effect of the sun on the earth, vegetation, and animals, the apparent truth that certain constellations are accompanied by storms and that climates affect human character led men to the belief that there was an inevitable connection between the heavenly bodies and the earth through which every mundane phenomenon was absolutely ordered. The combination of Oriental doctrines of the stars and Stoic philosophy which Hellenistic thought effected produced an imposing system of which the most essential point was fatalism. This system was adopted sooner or later by all classes of society from the Caesars to the rabble. But, on the other hand, men believed that they could find escape from fate in magic. This, like astrology, was founded on a belief in the unity of the universe and in sympathy between the material and the spiritual world. By certain words, signs, or acts the very stars could be forced to modify their decrees and man could become in a sense master of his fate. Astrology and magic were not simply psuedo-sciences; they were rather faiths; they had their origin in Oriental temples and never quite forgot their birth.

In his final chapter Cumont discusses the transformation of Roman paganism by Oriental religions. The third century of our era saw a strange mixture of faiths in the Roman world. The rude practices of the common people, the official religion, local Celtic, Germanic, or Iberian worships existed together; side by side with them were the Oriental religions making new and masterful appeals, the Semitic cults, for example, with their ideas of an all-powerful god, mighty and eternal, Mithraism with its dualism of good and evil powers, resulting in an imperative ethical system. Most, if not all, Oriental cults possessed alluring rituals and made promises of salvation. In the apparent confusion of faiths the intellectual classes found harmony through Neo-Platonism, which, venerating all ancient religions, effected a combination of Oriental and western thought far removed from the beliefs of Augustus's day. In this synthesis the Oriental mysteries, the worship of the elementa-including the celestial bodies-in fact almost every form of religious expression found its place. The cosmic forces were regarded as divine, and the whole universe was thought to be animated by the one eternal and almighty god. The final outcome of Paganism was a solar pantheism.

This imperfect outline may suggest the interesting content of Cumont's book. It is more than a review of Oriental religious influence in the West. No one can read it without realizing that we have here from a master hand a great chapter in the religious history of mankind; no reader will fail to have his own concepts of religion broadened and deepened.

HARVARD UNIVERSITY.

CLIFFORD H. MOORE.

THE CLASSICAL WEEKLY is published by The Classical Association of the Atlantic States, weekly, on Saturdays, from October to May inclusive, except in weeks in which there is a legal or school holiday, at Teachers College, 505 West 130th Street, New York City.

All persons within the territory of the Association who are interestod in the language, the literature, the life and the art of ancient Greece and ancient Rome, whether actually engaged in teaching the Classics or not, are eligible to membership in the Association. Application for membership may be made to the Secretary-Treasurer, Charles Knapp, Barnard College, New York. The annual dues (which cover also the subscription to THE CLASSICAL WERKLY), are two dollars. Within the territory covered by the Association (New York, New Jersey, Pennsylvania, Delaware, Maryland, District of Columbia) subscription is possible to individuals only through membership. To institutions in this territory the subscription price is one dollar per year.

Outside the territory of the Association the subscription price of The Classical Weekly is one dollar per year.

Editor-in-Chief

GONEALES LODGE, Teachers College, Columbia University

Managing Editor

CHARLES KHAPP, Barnard College, Columbia University

Associate Editors

ERNST RIESS, Boys' High School, Brooklyn HARRY L. WILSON, Johns Hopkins University

Business Manager

CHAMLES KHAPP, Harnard College, New York City

Communications, articles, reviews, books for review, queries, etc., should be sent to the Managing Editor. !nquiries concerning subscriptions and advertising, back numbers or extra numbers, notices of change of address, etc., should be sent to the business manager.

Printed by Princeton University Press, Princeton, N. J.

## A MANUAL OF LATIN WORD FORMATION

FOR SECONDARY SCHOOLS

By Paul R. Jenks

Flushing High School, New York City

A Most Valuable Aid in Acquiring a Vocabulary

Send for Descriptive Circular

Cloth

86 pages

Price 50 cents

D. C. Heath & Company

Boston

New York

Chicago

# SECOND YEAR LATIN SIGHT READING

Edited by ARTHUR L. JAMES, Boys' High School, Brooklyn

40 cents

Contains the more interesting parts of Books V, VI, and VII of the Gallic War, important selections from Book III of the Civil War, and six of the more attractive Lives of Nepos. Page vocabularies, footnotes, and many other helps are included

Have you seen Barss's Third Year Latin Sight Reading? Price, 40 cents.

## AMERICAN BOOK COMPANY

New York

Cincinnati

Chicago

## D'OOGE'S LATIN COMPOSITION

One-volume Edition, \$1.00

Two-volume Edition Part I-50 cents Part II & III-60 cents

Confirmation of the excellence of this textbook is found in its general adoption in secondary schools. Part I is based on Caesar's Gallic War to accompany the work of the second year.

Part II, intended to accompany the work of the third year, is based on Cicero's Manilian Law, Catiline I-IV, and the Archies.

Part III consists of thirty-four lessons of miscellaneous sentences and continuous prose based on Cossar and Cicero, accompanied by a special vocabulary.

GINN AND COMPANY New York

Chicago

London

## A SUCCESSFUL SYSTEM FOR BEGINNING CLASSES.

FIRST BOOK IN LATIN. By James Alexanderinglis, A. B., Instructor in Latin, Horace Mann High School, Teachers' College, New York, and Virgit Prettyman, A. M., Ph. D., Principal of Horace Mann High School

HIGH SCHOOL COURSE IN LATIN COMPOSITION. By Charles McCoy Baker and Alexander James Inglis of Horace Mann High School, Teachers' College, Columbia University, New York.

#### THE MACMILLAN COMPANY. 64-66 Fifth Ave., New York.

Boston.

Chicago.

Dallas

San Francisco

## THE LAKE CLASSICAL SERIES

includes distinctive and progressive textbooks in Latin and Greek

Sight reading is now supplied free in the paper class texts in Latin, in accordance with the recommendation of the Committee appointed by the American Philological Association and the requirements of the New York State Regents. Correspondence invited.

## SCOTT, FORESMAN AND COMPANY

623 South Wabash Ave., Chicago 37 East 28th St., New York

## HALE'S FIRST LATIN BOOK

By WILLIAM GARDNER HALE, Head of the Latin Department, University of Chicago.

Cloth. \$1.00

The book treats Latin as a living, growing, and changing language. It is this point of view that makes it appeal so strongly to the interest of the pupils. A knowledge of English Grammar is not taken for granted. Principles which are common to all languages are first presented. The order of the words is the Latin order from the opening sentence. Every new word is used immediately in at least two lessons. This feature of repetition and emphasis is found in no other First Latin Book. Pronouns follow one another in the order of their deviation from the normal type. mal type.

#### ATKINSON, MENTZER & GROVER

New York

Chicago

Boston

Dallas